



Investigation Summary Report

Private Residence

Benton, LA

HISTORY

Investigators: James Palmer / Tricia Grayson / Bess Maxwell / John Combs

Report anomalous information from client, who has requested confidentiality: Knocking on walls, Bed shaking and mattress vibrating, Shadow by the bed, Shadows across the bedroom ceiling, and also seen out of the corner of the eye, Electronic toy turned on, Strange animal seen in rocking chair on the deck, Rocking chair moving slowly on nights with no wind.

Other data with no means on verification at time of investigation, or 3rd party claims: Other people shot orb photos on premises, Visitor saw apparitions, Psychic said various spirits were present on the land.

Equipment/Analysis Utilized: Digital Voice Recorders, Infrared Digital Video Recording, Still Photography (Digital), EMF Detectors, Motion Detectors, FieldREG Anomalous Consciousness Detection via statistical inference method.

With the exceptions below, no personal observations were made on premises, nor scientific data gathered at the time of the investigation. Additional investigation is recommended.

1. EMF: Emf readings were extremely low and flat in most areas. High readings were reported in areas generally consistent with these results: kitchen appliances, central air units, etc. One will notice in the client incident reports, the number of reports issued in the bedroom area. The clock immediately beside the client's pillow/sleeping area, emits extremely large EMF dosage. Two hours of sleep would result in an amount in excess of the maximum recommended 24 hour exposure threshold. Eight hour exposure, or exceeding the two hour threshold, could result in hallucinations, seeming real, to the party involved. This may, or may not, explain the high incidence reports in this area, but is a possibility.

2. FieldREG Consciousness Detection was run in two sessions, the first 1201 trials from 10:09 PM for 20 minutes, 27 seconds. Trial two was initiated after observing data from trial 1, and was initiated from 10:31 PM, for 20 minutes, 25 seconds and 1200 trials. Trial one data was normal and consistent for approximately 4 minutes, then showed an immediate climb toward anomalous

level, reaching statistical significance for a brief period of time at about 10:18 PM, with a $z=1.842$, and a probability of this being chance only, of $P<.04$. For the duration of testing, cumulative scoring remained high, and level, but below statistical significance. It was this consistency that initiated trial 2. This trial showed more of the expected deviations of gathered data, but still exhibited data on the high side, consistently throughout. Statistical significance was achieved for the presence of anomalous consciousness at about 10:40 PM. The score was $z=1.70$, with probability of this being chance only, $p<.05$. The probability, combined, for these two trials both being due totally to chance would be $p< .002$. The operator was making no efforts to influence data gathering of the quantum unit. It is therefore possible, for a brief period of time, an anomalous consciousness was present at and during time of testing.

CONCLUSION

1. No hard, scientific data was gathered at the date and time of investigation.
2. Some claim incidences may/may not be explainable by the high EMF field from the clock radio at the bedside.
3. Consciousness Detection briefly identified statistically probable presence(s), and this along with a total absence of any hard data results could be indicative of an intent not to show a presence to investigators. Additional investigation is recommended and warranted.