



Investigation Summary Report

Private Residence
Bossier City, LA

HISTORY

Date of Investigation: March 27, 2010

Participants: Bess Maxwell, Tricia Grayson, Abby Ford, Kirk Cormier, John Combs

NOTE: The owners of this home have requested confidentiality. Some information may have been obscured to honor this request. This in no way affects the data presented, or any conclusions herein.

BACKGROUND

Clients have lived in this home for about one year. It sits on the site of a former plantation. The clients, as well as visitors have claimed to have seen apparitions, heard voices, and experienced objects being moved, without known human intervention. The activity seems to center in the times and places when the female client is present. This client has spent many hours gathering video evidence of these anomalous presences she reports as having seen and felt. Some audio evidence has also been gathered by this client. We greatly respect this client and her efforts to answer questions about the concerns she has. This data, however, was not gathered by La. Spirits, and cannot be considered in weighing evidence gathered on March 27, 2010.

EQUIPMENT: Infrared digital cameras and DVR system, digital still cameras, various EMF meters including Tri-field, various digital audio recorders, motion detectors, ion counters, digital thermometers, and PEAR REG-1 units for detection of anomalous consciousness with software on Hewlett Packard computers.

INVESTIGATION

At the time of testing, and in the places tested, no anomalous data was recorded on video or digital still photography. No anomalous motion, hot spots or cold spots, unusual EMF activity, unusual concentrations of negative ions, or electronic voice phenomenon was obtained. No personal experiences were reported. The overall feeling of the home was quiet.

CONSCIOUSNESS DETECTION

This testing is passive in nature. A total of 24 tests were made. At the minimum reporting standard of $P < .05$, we could expect to receive 1 test due to chance alone. A total of 8 tests were positive. The results were as follows:

Mainbath1	Z= 1.719	P< .042807
Mainbath2	Z= 2.098	P< .017953
MiddleBR3	Z= 1.904	P< .028953
MiddleBR4	Z= 1.704	P< .044191
MiddleBR6	Z= 1.728	P< .041994
Washroom2	Z= 2.321	P< .010143
Washroom3	Z= 1.991	P< .02324
MasterBR1	Z= 2.061	P< .019652

The probability that all 8 are due to chance alone, as opposed to consciousness interaction, is as follows:

$P < .0000000000044630$

CONCLUSION

At the times and places tested, the interactive testing did not yield any evidence, nor did passive testing conducted with an individual present. The only positive testing was that obtained by passive testing, with no individuals resent during testing. The large amount of data gathered by this method, and its statistical significance points to the possibility of an anomalous presence, or presences, in this home, at the time and places tested, along with the their possible tance of chosen non-interaction. We would like to return to this home for further research, and hope that we might then be able to obtain more interactive data.

We would like to thank the clients for inviting us into their home, and for their gracious hospitality. Again, we hope we may be able to visit again, soon.

John Combs
La. Spirits